

REMARKS

Claims 61-73 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 61, 64-71 and 73 have been allowed and claims 62, 63 and 72 have been rejected. These rejections are respectfully traversed for the reasons indicated below and reconsideration is requested.

Amendment to the Drawings

The Examiner has objected to the Drawings for not showing the feature relating to the shape and power of the objective lens in previously added claim 73. The Applicants herewith submit new Fig. 2C to show, as recited at p. 10, paragraph [0028], line 2, an embodiment of the invention in which "the ocular lens 106 is a positive or convex lens" and, as recited at lines 9-10, "the objective lens 110 is a negative or concave lens." The Applicants submit that no new matter is added by the insertion of the requested new Fig. 2C. Thus, the Examiner's objection has been addressed. The specification has been amended at page 6 and page 10 to insert appropriate references to new Fig. 2C.

Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 62-63 have been rejected as not being supported in the specification, the Examiner stating that the disclosure as

originally filed does not provide support for the features relating to the refractive correction of the spectacle lens as claimed. The Examiner is referred to p. 8, paragraph [0024], where it is stated:

FIG. 1B illustrates the user's view 120 through the telescopic system of FIG. 1A. The Galilean telescope system 100 allows for the simultaneous viewing of magnified 124 and unmagnified 122 images. Due to the geometry of telescope 100, the simultaneous viewing feature superimposes the magnified image 124 over the unmagnified image 122. The spectacle lens 102 can be adapted to conform to the user's vision-correcting prescription such that the unmagnified image 122 is substantially in focus to the user's eye 112. By providing simultaneous views of the magnified 124 and unmagnified 122 images, the user can easily locate an object 126 or determine his position relative to the object 126.

The Applicants submit that this paragraph provides the requisite written description support for claims 62 and 63 and that the rejection is overcome. Claim 63 has been amended to more closely track the language of paragraph [0024].

Claim 72, which has been rejected as indefinite, has been amended to recite that "at least one of said plurality of mirrors is located completely within said spectacle lens." Thus Applicants submit that the rejection has been overcome.

The Applicants submit that all claims in the application are in condition for allowance and such action is requested.

Application No. 09/916,640

Filed: July 26, 2001

TC Art Unit: 2872

Confirmation No.: 8480

The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned attorney to discuss any matter that would expedite allowance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

ELIEZER PELI ET AL.

By: Holliday C. Heine  
Holliday C. Heine, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 34,346  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN,  
GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP  
Ten Post Office Square  
Boston, MA 02109  
Telephone: (617) 542-2290  
Telecopier: (617) 451-0313

HCH/raw  
301697-1